Resolution of 3-(methylamino)-1-(2-thienyl)propan-1-ol, a new key intermediate for duloxetine, with (S)-mandelic acid

Kenichi Sakai,^a,* Rumiko Sakurai,^a Atsushi Yuzawa,^a
Yuka Kobayashi^b and Kazuhiko Saigo^b

^aR & D Division, Yamakawa Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Kitaibaraki 319-1541, Japan

^bDepartment of Chemistry and Biotechnology, Graduate School of Engineering,

The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

Abstract: The resolution of racemic 3-(methylamino)-1-(2-thienyl)propan-1-ol (3), a new key intermediate for duloxetine (1), was studied. The conditions were optimized for an industrial-scale resolution of 3 by using (S)-mandelic acid (4) as a resolving agent and 2-butanol containing two equimolar amounts of water as a solvent. The (S)-3·(S)-4·H₂O diastereomeric salt was crystallized to give pure (S)-3 with >99.9% ee after liberation of the amine. Absolute configuration of liberated (-)-3 was determined as (S)-form by X-ray crystallography.

Introduction

Up to now, many new and attractive techniques for the production of enantiomerically pure compounds have been reported. Among them, resolution via diastereomeric salt formation is still useful to produce enantiomerically pure compounds in an industrial-scale, since it is, in general, simple, clean, and easy to reproduce laboratory-scale data at an industrial-scale operation.

Duloxetine (LY-248686), (S)-(+)-N-methyl-3-(1-naphthyloxy)-3-(2-thienyl) propylamine (1), is expected to be not only a new potent antidepressant but also a

norepinephrine (NE) reuptake inhibitor, a 5-HT (serotonin) reuptake inhibitor and a new drug for stress urinary incontinence. In order to produce a key enantiopure intermediate for the synthesis of (S)-1, various strategies have been proposed, such as the enantioselective reduction of 3-(dimethylamino)-1-(2-thienyl)propan-1-one² and 3-chloro-1-(2-thienyl)propan-1-one³ with Li(ent-Chirald[®])₂AIH₂ and an oxazaborolidine catalyst, respectively, the enzymatic resolution of 3-chloro-1-(2-thienyl)propan-1-ol⁴ using immobilized CALB (Novozyme 435, Novo-Nordisk A/S), and the resolution of 3-(dimethylamino)-1-(2-thienyl)propan-1-ol (2)⁵ via diastereomeric salt formation. As a result, the resolution of (RS)-2 via diastereomeric salt formation with (S)-mandelic acid (4) has been selected for an industrial-scale production together with efficient supporting techniques such as racemization of the antipode. Duloxetine (S)-1 is produced by the condensation of the chiral intermediate (S)-2 with 1-fluoronaphthalene, followed by demethylation with 2,2,2-trichloroethyl chloroformate and Zn.² However, there are some critical problems in this process, such as low yield and considerable decomposition to give impurities. Thus, a direct synthesis starting from (S)-3-(methylamino)-1-(2-thienyl)propan-1-ol (3) is expected to be a new route for the production of (S)-1. However, the resolution of (RS)-3 has not been reported so far.

We herein report the resolution of 3-(methylamino)-1-(2-thienyl)propan-1-ol (3) with (S)-mandelic acid (4), where water molecules play an important role.

Results and Discussion

In order to find out a suitable resolving agent for (*RS*)-3, typical acidic resolving agents such as (*S*)-mandelic acid (4), (*R*)-2-methoxy-2-phenylacetic acid (5), (*R*)-phenylpropionic acid (6), L-tartaric acid (7), and its dibenzoyl derivative L-8 and di-*p*-toluoyl derivative L-9 were examined by using EtOH as a solvent. The results

are summarized in Table 1. As can be seen from Table 1, (R)-5 and L-8 gave poor results (Table 1, Entries 2 and 5), and (S)-4, (R)-6 and L-7 did not afford any crystals (Table 1, Entries 1, 3, and 4). Although L-9 showed the highest resolution efficiency (E), the diastereomeric purity (d.p.)8 of the salt was not satisfactory for an industrial-scale application (Table 1, Entry 6).

Then, we checked in detail the conditions for the resolution of (RS)-3 with (S)-4, commercially available in a large quantity with low price; especially the resolutions were carried out by using various solvents including those applied for the resolution of (RS)-2 with (S)-4. The results are shown in Table 2. No crystal was obtained from solutions of MTBE (tert-butyl methyl ether) and MTBE-EtOH, which are favorable solvents in the resolution of (RS)-2 with (S)-4, and from other organic solvents, such as 2-butanol, ethyl acetate, ethyl methyl ketone and ethyl ether (Table 2, Entries 1-6). In sharp contrast, fine crystals with acceptable diastereomeric purity were obtained when water was used as a solvent (Table 2, Entry 7). The spectral and elemental analyses revealed that the salt crystallized from water was monohydrated. These results suggest that water molecules would play an important role in making the less-soluble diastereomeric salt crystal stable as a result of the close molecular packing of (S)-3, (S)-4 and water molecules.

In order to improve resolution efficiency, namely to increase the yield of the less-soluble diastereomeric salt (S)- $3\cdot(S)$ - $4\cdot$ H₂O without any deterioration of the diastereomeric purity, we examined the effect of water in ethanol in a range of 2-75% (w/w) water contents. The results are summarized in Table 3. Table 3 shows that the diastereomeric purity increased and then decreased with decreasing water content, and finally no crystal was obtained. On the basis of this result, we considered that the presence of water in a solvent is essential to form (S)- $3\cdot(S)$ - $4\cdot$ H₂O and that the three-component salt would be possible to deposit in a larger quantity from a solvent

less polar than ethanol. Thus, we carried out the resolution by using less polar alcohols in the presence of a small amount of water. The results are summarized in Table 4. As shown in Table 4, the highest resolution efficiency (*E*) was achieved, when 2-butanol containing two molar amounts of water was used as a solvent (Table 4, Entry 8). The diastereomeric salt crystals, obtained in all resolution systems shown in Table 4, contained an equimolar amount of water as a component. These results obviously revealed that water played a very important role to form stable diastereomeric salt crystals with satisfactory diastereomeric purity. The diastereomeric purity of the crude salt could be easily improved by recrystallizing it once from aqueous 2-butanol; the diastereomeric purity of the recrystallized crystals was more than 95%. The final product (*S*)-3 with more than 99.5% ee was obtained upon treatment of the recrystallized salt with aqueous sodium hydroxide, followed by extraction with 2-butanol and crystallization from toluene.⁹

In order to elucidate the role of water molecules in the formation of the stable less-soluble diastereomeric salt crystal, its crystal structure was determined by an X-ray crystallographic analysis. The crystal structure with hydrogen bonds is shown in Figures 2 and 3. As observed by the spectral and elemental analyses, water molecules are participated in the crystal formation as connectors between the basic 3 and the acidic 4 molecules to form a hydrogen-bonded 2_1 column. In the crystals of the less-soluble diastereomeric salts consisting of mandelic acid and primary 1-arylalkylamines, such as 1-phenylethylamine, 1-(2-methylphenyl)ethylamine and 1-(3-methoxyphenyl)ethylamine, hydrogen bonds between the NH(amine) and the O(carboxylate) are essential to form a fundamental unit, and other NH(amine) \cdots O(carboxylate) hydrogen bonds generally exist to form a 2_1 -column. Moreover, α -OH(acid) \cdots O(carboxylate) hydrogen bonds result in the formation of a supramolecularly hydrogen-bonded sheet consisting of the 2_1 columns. In sharp

contrast, a quite different hydrogen-boding system is observed in this crystal; a fundamental unit is constructed from two molecules of **3** and two molecules of **4** through two water molecules by NH(amine)···HOH(water)···O(carboxylate) hydrogen bonds other than usual NH(amine)···O(carboxylate) hydrogen bonds. The insertion of water molecules between the NH(amine) and the O(acid) makes the distance between them longer, giving an enough space for the N-Me group to avoid steric congestion. In addition, the units are piled up by OH(hydroxyl in **4**)···O(carboxylate) hydrogen bonds to form a 2₁-column. As a result, the connection of the 2₁ columns is achieved by OH(hydroxyl in **3**)···O(carboxylate) hydrogen bonds to form a hydrogen-bonded supramolecular sheet. Thus, the less-soluble salt crystal is composed of a unique hydrogen-bonding network mediated by water molecules. The formation of this unique hydrogen-bonding network would arise from the structural feature that **3** is a secondary amine.

Conclusion

An efficient industrial resolution process of 3-(methylamino)-1-(2-thienyl)propan-1-ol (3), a new key intermediate for the synthesis of duloxetine, with (*S*)-mandelic acid (4) has been developed by using 2-butanol with a small amount of water as a solvent. From an X-ray crystal structure analysis, the existence of a unique hydrogen-bonding network mediated by water molecules was confirmed; water molecules gave a space for the bulky *N*-Me group of 3. Absolute configuration of liberated (-)-3 was determined as (*S*)-form by X-ray crystallography.

Experimental Section

General. Racemic **3** was obtained from Nippon Junryo Chemical (Osaka) and used without any purification. (S)-Mandelic acid (>99.5% ee) was made of

Yamakawa Chemical (Tokyo). Other reagents were purchased from Tokyo Kasei Kogyo or Koso Chemical, unless otherwise indicated.

¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-ECP400 spectrometer in DMSO-*d*₆ or CDCl₃ with Me₄Si as an internal reference. IR spectra were measured on a JASCO IR-700 spectrometer using KBr pellets. Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO DIP-370 polarimeter with a circular temperature control unit. High-performance liquid chromatography was performed by a JASCO Intelligent HPLC system equipped with a 875-UV detector. Melting points were determined with a YAMATO MP-21 instrument and uncorrected. Water content in the salt was measured by the Karl Fischer method with a HIRANUMA Aquacounter AQV-5.

Determination of Enantiomeric and Diastereomeric Purities. The enantiomeric purity of **3** and the diastereomeric purity of the salt, (S)-**3**·(S)-**4**·H₂O, were directly determined by HPLC using a Shiseido CD-Ph column (ID 4.6 mmX250 mm). Analytical conditions for the HPLC were as follows; 0.2 M-NaClO₄:MeCN (70:30), 1 mL/min, 35°C, detected at 235 nm; injection sample $10~\mu$ L (15 mg/10 mL), Retention times: the (R)-enantiomer 9.8 min, the (S)-enantiomer 12.1 min. In the analysis of the diastereomeric purity of the salt, the peaks of the enantiomers of **3** were completely separated from that of (S)-**4** (retention time 2.6 min).

Preparation of the Less-soluble Diastereomeric Salt, (*S*)-3·(*S*)-4·H₂O. Pilot scale runs usually gave better results than laboratory runs. A typical pilot run is described as follows: To a 1000 L glass-lined reactor were added (*RS*)-3 (100 kg, 584 mol), (*S*)-4 (89 kg, 584 mol), 2-butanol (190 kg) and water (21 kg, 1168 mol; total amount including water in solvent-grade 2-butanol), and the mixture was stirred and heated up to about 50°C to give a clear solution. The solution was then gradually cooled, seeded (20 g) at 34-36°C, kept for one hour at 29-32°C (corresponding to the crystallization temperature), and then cooled again to 20°C. After aging the

suspension at the temperature for one hour, the crystals were collected by a centrifuge and washed twice with 2-butanol for each centrifugation (68 L in total; centrifugation 6 times) to afford the crude salt (83.2 kg, 244 mol, yield 42%, 75% dp, E 63%). The crude salt was recrystallized from a mixture of 2-butanol (165 kg) and water (7 kg; 11 kg (611 mol) in total including water in the crude salt, 2.5 eq. vs the crude salt). The deposited salt was centrifuged, washed twice with 2-butanol (60 L in total; centrifugation 5 times), and dried at 50°C to give pure (S)-3·(S)-4·H₂O (66.1 kg, 194 mol, yield 79 %, 95% dp).

(*S*)-**3**·(*S*)-**4**·H₂O: [α]_D²⁰ +26.4° (c 1.00, EtOH); Mp 70–71°C; IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 3470, 3208, 1618, 1586, 1491, 1051, 701; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ 7.37-7.40 (m, 3H), 7.22-7.25 (m. 2H), 7.17 (d, *J*=5.2 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (dd, *J*=3.2, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (d, *J*=3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (dd, *J*=4.8, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (s, 1H), 2.86-2.91 (m, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 1.92-1.99 (m, 2H); Water content (KF): Calcd for a hydrate 5.25 %, found 5.27 %; Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₃NO₅S: C, 56.29; H, 6.79; N, 4.10, S, 9.39. Found C, 56.25; H, 6.64; N, 4.10; S, 9.36.

Preparation of (*S*)-3. The pure salt (*S*)-3·(*S*)-4·H₂O (66.1 kg) was treated with 1.2 M sodium hydroxide (194 L), and the liberated (*S*)-3 was extracted with 2-butanol (124 L X 3). The combined 2-butanol layers were concentrated under reduced pressure. To the condensate was added toluene, and remaining 2-butanol was removed upon evaporating repeatedly to change the solvent to toluene completely. To the final condensate was added toluene (322 L), and precipitated sodium (*S*)-mandelate was filtered off. The filtrate was concentrated to 95 L under reduced pressure. The suspension was heated at 50°C to dissolve the precipitates, then gradually cooled, seeded (20 g) at 45°C, kept for one hour at around crystallization temperature (42°C), and then cooled again to 20°C. After aging the suspension at the temperature for one hour, the crystals were collected by a centrifuge and washed

twice with toluene for each centrifugation (24 L in total; centrifugation 2 times) to afford the white crystals of (*S*)-**3** (27.2 kg, 159 mol, Yield 82%, >99.9% ee, Total yield 27%). (*S*)-**3**: [α]_D²⁰ –16.5° (c 1.01, EtOH); Mp 70.5–73.0°C; IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 3384, 3284, 1489, 1303, 1178, 1110, 1085, 709; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 7.20 (d, J=5.2 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (dd, J=3.2, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, J=3.2 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd, J=3.2, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (ddd, J=3.6, 5.6, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (ddd, J=3.2, 9.2, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 1.85-2.00 (m, 2H); Anal. Calcd for C₈H₁₃NOS: C, 56.11; H, 7.65; N, 8.18; S, 18.72. Found C, 56.22; H, 7.56; N, 8.17; S, 18.79.

X-Ray Crystal Structure Analysis. A colorless plate single crystal of (*S*)-3·(*S*)-4·H₂O salt (0.30X0.70X0.70 mm) was grown from the recrystallization conditions indicated above using the recrystallized salt crystals (>99.9% dp; (*S*)-mandelic acid >99.9% ee). The X-ray intensities were measured up to 2 θ max=52.7° with graphite monochromated MoK_a radiation (λ =0.71073Å) (MAC Science) at 296K. Data collection and refinement parameters for the salt are as follows: (*S*)-3·(*S*)-4·H₂O; C₁₆H₂₃NO₅S; Formula Weight 341.42; Monoclinic; Space group: $P2_1(\#4)$, a=8.8150(4)Å, b=5.8730(2)Å, c=17.5500(9)Å, β =92.573(2)°, V=876.77(6)Å³, Z=2, D_{calc}=1.293 g/cm³, μ (MoK_a)=2.08 cm⁻¹, R=0.054, Rw=0.082. Number of reflections measured=Total 1961; Unique: 1959. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structure in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as a supplementary publication number CCDC 203963.

Acknowledgements, We thank Dr. Ichiro Shinkai of Beta-Chem Inc. for valuable discussion and suggestions. We express our special thanks to Nippon Junryo Chemical for providing racemic 3-(methylamino)-1-(2-thienyl)propan-1-ol. This work is dedicated to Mr. Hisamichi Murakami on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

References

- Sorbera, L. A.; Castaner, R. M.; Castaner, J. Drug of the Future 2000, 25, 907 and the references cited therein.
- a) Deeter, J.; Frazier, J.; Staten, G.; Staszak, M. A.; Weigel, L. O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1990, 31, 7101; b) Staszak, M. A.; Staten, G. S.; Weigel, L. O. EP 457559, JP
 1992-226948, CA 1992, 116, 106081.
- 3. Wheeler, W. J.; Kuo, F. J. Label Compd Radiopharm 1995, 36, 213.
- 4. Liu, H.; Hoff, B. H.; Anthonsen, T. Chirality 2000, 12, 26.
- Berglund, R. A. EP 650965, US 5362886, JP 1995-188065, CA 1994, 122, 132965.
- Astleford, B. A.; Weigel, L. O. Chirality in Industry II; Resolution Versus
 Stereoselective Synthesis in Drug Development: Some Case Histories, Dulexetine
 (LY248686). Collins, A. N.; Sheldrake, G. N.; Crosby, J., Ed.: John Wiley & Sons
 Ltd.: New York, 1997; pp. 101-104.
- 7. Resolution efficiency (E, %)= yield(%)Xdiasteromeric purity(%dp)X2/100
- 8. Diastereomeric purity (D.p., %)=|A-B|X100/(A+B), where A and B are both diastereomers.
- 9. Sakai, K.; Sakurai, R.; Yuzawa, A.; Hatahira, K. JP 2002-289068.
- a) Sakai, K.; Maekawa, Y.; Saigo, K.; Sukegawa, M.; Murakami, H.; Nohira, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1992, 65, 1747; b) Sakai, K.; Hashimoto, Y.; Kinbara, K.; Saigo, K.; Murakami, H.; Nohira, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1993, 66, 3414; c) Kinbara, K; Sakai, k; Hashimoto, Y; Nohira, H; Saigo, K. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1996, 7, 1539; d) Kinbara, K; Sakai, k; Hashimoto, Y; Nohira, H; Saigo, K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 2 1996, 2615.

OH
$$CH_3$$
 CH_3 CH_3

Figure 1

Table 1. Resolution of (RS)-3 with various resolving agents in EtOH

	Resolving	Solvent/(RS)-3		Diastereomeric	Resolution	Absolute
Entry	agent	ratio	Yield	purity	efficiency (E)	Configuration
		(w/w)	%	%dp	%	
1	(S)- 4	3	Not crystallized		0	
2	(<i>R</i>)- 5	2.3	4	63	5	S
3	(<i>R</i>)- 6	5	Not crystallized		0	
4	L- 7	5	Not crystallized		0	
5	L -8	5	74	9	13	R
6	L -9	5	47	53	50	S

^{4:} Mandelic acid. 5: 2-Methoxy-2-phenylacetic acid. 6: Phenylpropionic acid. 7: Tartaric acid.

^{8:} Dibenzoyltartaric acid. 9: Ditoluoyltartaric acid.

^{*} Molar ratio of resolving agent: 1.0 eq. vs racemate.

Table 2. Resolution of (RS)-3 with (S)-mandelic acid (4) in various solvents^{\star 1}

			Diastereomeric	Resolution	Absolute
Entry	Solvent*2	Yield	purity	efficiency (E)	configuration
		%	%dp	%	
1	MTBE	Not crystallized		0	
2	MTBE-EtOH*3	Not crystallized		0	
3	2-BuOH	Not crystallized		0	
4	AcOEt	Not crystallized		0	
5	MEK	Not crystallized		0	
6	Ethyl ether	Not crystallized		0	
7	Water	20	75	30	S

^{*1:} Resolving agent/(RS)-3=1.0 (molar ratio)

^{*2:} Solvent/(*RS*)-**3** = 1.9 (w/w)

^{*3:} MTBE/EtOH=2:1 (w/w)

Table 3. Resolution of (RS)-3 with (S)-mandelic acid (4) in water/EtOH

	Water/EtOH		Diastereomeric	Resolution	
Entry	Ratio	Yield	purity	efficiency (E)	
	(w/w)* ¹	%	%dp	%	
1	100/0	30	68	41	
2	75/25	15	72	22	
3	50/50	2	86	3	
4	25/75	8	85	14	
5	5/95	11	70	15	
6	2/98	Not crystallized		0	
7	0/100	Not crystallized		0	

^{*1:} Solvent/(RS)-3=1.9 (w/w); 5%(w/w) of water means equimolar amount of (RS)-3.

Table 4. Optical resolution of (RS)-3 with (S)-4 in alcohols containing water *1

		Water/(RS)-3		Diastereomeric	Resolution
Entry	Solvent*2	ratio	Yield	purity	efficiency
		[molar ratio]	%	%dp	(<i>E</i>) %
1	n-PrOH	1.0	20	71	28
2	2-PrOH	1.0	32	74	47
3		2.0	47	55	52
4		3.0	40	71	57
5	n-BuOH	1.0	39	67	52
6		2.0	36	75	54
7	2-BuOH	1.0	40	63	50
8		2.0	45	70	63
9		3.0	39	73	57
10		4.0	32	76	49

^{*1: (}S)-4/(RS)-3=1.0 (molar ratio).

^{*2:} Solvent/(RS)-3=1.9 (w/w)