Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Hydroxylated surface of GaAs as a scaffold for heterogeneous Pd catalyst

Nagatoshi Nishiwaki,*^a Tomoya Konishi,^b Shotaro Hirao,^a Yoshiyuki Yamashita,^c Hideki Yoshikawa,^c and Masahiko Shimoda^d

5 Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

A novel use of GaAs, namely, as a scaffold for a heterogeneous palladium catalyst, is proposed. Hydroxy groups on the GaAs surface play important roles. During the adsorption of $Pd(OAc)_2$ on the GaAs surface, the hydroxy groups attract Pd(II) species by anion exchange. A subsequent redox reaction proceeds to

¹⁰ generate Pd(0) nanoparticles, which are stabilized on the GaAs surface. This process is confirmed by surface-sensitive measurements: diffuse reflection IR spectroscopy and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. Moreover, a more bulk-sensitive measurement, hard X-ray photoemission spectroscopy with synchrotron radiation, also supported our considerations. The amounts of Pd(0) nanoparticles on the surface were evaluated by catalytic activity, yield, and recyclability in the Heck reaction, in addition to deposit test.

15 Introduction

Palladium-catalyzed C-C bond forming reactions have various potential applications in organic synthesis. The coupling reactions are efficiently catalyzed by soluble Pd(0) species (homogeneous catalysts).^{1,2} However, the major disadvantage of the homogeneous catalysts is the difficulty of catalyst/product separation, resulting in a considerable loss of the noble metal during catalyst recovery and regeneration. In addition, air-sensitive and moisture-sensitive phosphine ligands are necessary in most cases, which considerably restricts large-scale use. On the

- ²⁵ other hand, solid-supported catalysts (heterogeneous catalysts)³⁻⁵ are advantageous from environmental and industrial viewpoints because they are inexpensive, easily recovered, and reusable. There are several strategies for preparing effective heterogeneous catalyst systems. Palladium complexes anchored on solid
- ³⁰ supports⁶⁻¹² or encapsulated in polystyrenes¹³ have been successfully synthesized and used in a variety of reactions. Recently, solid-supported Pd(0) nanoparticles have gained importance¹⁴⁻²⁴ because of their size effect²²⁻²⁵ as well as air and moisture stability. Another advantage of these catalysts is that
- ³⁵ they can be synthesized using inexpensive ligands. Numerous solid-supported ligand-free Pd(0) catalysts have also been synthesized, and several of them are commercially available. In solid-supported palladium catalysts, Pd(0) nanoparticles tend
- to aggregate to form large inactive clusters, which eventually $_{40}$ form palladium black. 2,26 When Pd(0) nanoparticles are prepared
- from easily available, treatable and stable Pd(II) reagents by reduction, aggregation is the major cause for the loss of catalytic activity. Another serious problem with these catalysts is the leaching of palladium species during the catalytic reaction.^{9,20}
- ⁴⁵ However, it has been suggested that the leached palladium particles are the actual active species that accelerate the cross-

coupling reactions.^{9,15,16} A small amount of palladium dissolves in a solution by complex formation with the aryl halide used in the coupling reaction and re-deposits on the surface of the solid

- ⁵⁰ support after the aryl halide is completely consumed. This implies that solid-supported palladium catalysts serve as reservoirs of catalytically active species to effect the C-C bond formations homogeneously. Hence, it is desirable to develop a suitable scaffold that has a moderate affinity for Pd(0) nanoparticles^{15-21,27}
- ss and is strong enough to retain and stabilize them without aggregation, but weak enough to release them slowly.
- Recently, suitable scaffolds with moderate affinity have been developed for surface stabilization of Pd(0) nanoparticles, in which multiple hydroxy groups on the surface serve as ligands to ⁶⁰ prevent the aggregation of nanoparticles while preserving the catalytic activity.²⁷ Moreover, it is preferable to reduce the Pd(II) species into Pd(0) on the surface of the scaffold rather than in solution to avoid aggregation of the Pd(0) nanoparticles. From this viewpoint, our attention was focused on the GaAs surface ⁶⁵ covered with hydroxy groups, which is able to have higher oxidation state. In the present paper, we demonstrate the preparation of a new catalytic system, Pd(0)-GaAs (abbreviated by the state of t
- hereafter as GaAs-Pd). The surface structure was analyzed by diffuse reflection infrared spectroscopy (DRIR) and by X-ray 70 photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), both of which are surfacesensitive. In addition, hard X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (HX-PES) with synchrotron radiation was applied to analyze the details of the palladium catalyst. Since high-energy photon radiation can excite photoelectrons with high kinetic energies, 75 HX-PES provides more bulk-sensitive measurements than laboratory XPS; observations with high resolution from deeper
- laboratory XPS; observations with high resolution from deeper areas of the surfaces are enabled. The amounts of catalytically active Pd(0) species were evaluated by the Heck reaction using iodobenzene and methyl acrylate.

Experimental

General

All of reagents including GaAs powder and solvents were commercially available, and used as received. The size of GaAs

- s particles is $10-150 \ \mu\text{m}$, and their surface area is $7.5 \times 10^{-2} \ \text{m}^2/\text{g}$. DRIR spectra were recorded on JASCO FT/IR-4200 spectrometer without dilution by KBr under dry N₂ flow. Although the surface area of GaAs particles is small, enough absorption was observed because only GaAs particles were subjected to the DRIR
- ¹⁰ measurement without dilution by KBr. Figures 1, 2, and 4 show raw data without Kubelka-Munk conversion. XPS spectra were observed with a VG ESCALAB MkII spectrometer using Al K α radiation (hv = 1486.7 eV). HX-PES measurements were performed at the synchrotron radiation facility BL15XU in
- ¹⁵ SPring-8 by using a VG Scienta R4000 analyzer. The photon energy was set at 5945 eV. Peak fitting with Voigt function was applied to decompose multi-peak spectra. ¹H NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker Avance III at 400 MHz with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard.

20 Preparation of GaAs-Pd (series A)

To a solution of palladium acetate $(Pd(OAc)_2, 10 \text{ mg}, 0.04 \text{ mmol})$ in acetonitrile (MeCN, 6.0 mL), GaAs powder (A-0, 200 mg) was added. The resultant mixture was heated at 80 °C. GaAs-Pd was collected by filtration, and was dried in a desiccator under

²⁵ reduced pressure for 30 min. to give GaAs-Pd. GaAs-Pd was named A-1 and A-24, respectively, according to the heating time 1 h and 24 h.

Preparation of GaAs-Pd (series B)

GaAs powder (200 mg) was treated with 10% HCl (2.0 mL) at 30 room temperature for 1 min. After collection by filtration, GaAs powder was washed with MeCN (5 mL \times 3) to afford B-0 powder. The following adsorption of Pd(OAc)₂ on B-0 was performed in the same way to the series A. GaAs-Pd was named B-0.5, B-1, B-6, B-12, B-24, and B-48, respectively, according to the heating 35 time.

Preparation of GaAs-Pd (series C)

After GaAs powder (200 mg) was treated with 10% HCl (2.0 mL) at room temperature for 1 min, the aqueous solution was decanted off, and then the powder was washed with H_2O (5 mL \times 3). The

⁴⁰ collected powder was heated with aqueous 30% H_2O_2 (2.0 mL) at 60 °C for 15 min, which was collected by filtration, and washed with MeCN (5 mL × 3) to afford C-0 powder. The following adsorption of Pd(OAc)₂ on C-0 was performed in the same way to the series A with 24 h heating.

45 Preparation of GaAs(100)-Pd (series D)

A piece of GaAs(100) plate (D-0) was immersed in a solution of $Pd(OAc)_2$ (5 mg) in MeCN (3.0 mL) and heated at 80 °C for 12 h. Subsequently, the plate was washed with MeCN (3.0 mL) and then dried by air.

⁵⁰ Evaluation of catalytic activity the by the Heck reaction (in the presence of GaAs-Pd)

To a mixture of GaAs-Pd powder (30 mg) and MeCN (2.0 mL), were added iodobenzene (Ph-I, 23 $\mu L,$ 0.20 mmol), methyl

acrylate **2** (MA-H, 23 μ L, 0.25 mmol) and NEt₃ (35 μ L, 0.25 mmol). The resultant mixture was heated at 120 °C for 6 h in a screw capped test tube ($\phi = 20$ mm, H = 150 mm). After GaAs-Pd was filtered off, the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The amount of the coupling product, methyl cinnamate (Ph-MA), in the residue, was determined by ¹H NMR using ⁶⁰ Cl₂CHCHCl₂ as an internal standard. The recovered GaAs-Pd

was subjected to the next Heck reaction. Deposit test (in the absence of GaAs-Pd)

After the Heck reaction, the used test tube was washed with MeCN (5 mL \times 3), and dried in an oven at 60 °C for 1 h. To the ⁶⁵ test tube, a solution of Ph-I (23 µL, 0.20 mmol), MA-H (23 µL, 0.25 mmol) and NEt₃ (35 µL, 0.25 mmol) in MeCN (2.0 mL) was added and then heated at 120 °C for 6 h. After removal of the solvent, the amount of a coupling product, Ph-MA, was determined by ¹H NMR using Cl₂CHCHCl₂ as an internal ⁷⁰ standard.

Results and discussion

The DRIR spectra (Fig. 1) provide useful information about hydroxy groups on the GaAs surface by exhibiting a broad absorption between 3200 and 3600 cm⁻¹. This absorption was 75 observed when commercially available GaAs powder (A-0) was subjected to the measurement. Since the absorption was intact upon heating the GaAs powder at 80 °C for 0.5 h in MeCN, it is likely that multilayer of water were strongly adsorbed on the surface by hydrogen bonding, perturbing the stretching modes. ⁸⁰ This confirmed that the hydroxy groups are chemically fixed on the surface through covalent bonding besides water molecules strongly adsorbed on the hydroxy groups through hydrogen bonding. On the other hand, the broad absorption disappeared after heating with Pd(OAc)₂ in MeCN for 1 h (A-1), which 85 implies that Pd(OAc)₂ reacted with the hydroxy groups on the GaAs surface.²⁸ Moreover, two new absorptions appeared at 1560 and 1450 cm⁻¹ with prolonged heating time (A-24), which are presumably due to acetate ion (AcO⁻).²⁹⁻³⁰



Fig. 1 DRIR spectra of GaAs-Pd powder (series A).

These intriguing results prompted us to monitor surface changes with respect to the heating time by DRIR measurement (Fig. 2). In order to exclude the effect of originally presenting GaAs oxide layer, etching by hydrochloric acid was performed before heating with Pd(OAc)₂ (series B). In series B, similar changes as a consequence of the heating time were observed. The absorption ⁵ of hydroxy groups observed for B-0 disappeared upon heating with Pd(OAc)₂ for 0.5 h (B-0.5), and absorptions of AcO⁻ were clearly observed in the case of B-24. The intensity of these AcO⁻ absorptions increased after heating for 48 h (B-48).



10

Fig. 2 DRIR spectra of GaAs-Pd powder (series B).



Scheme 1. The Heck reaction for estimating catalytic activity of the GaAs-Pd powders.

- ¹⁵ Prepared GaAs-Pd powders were employed as the catalyst for the Heck reaction using Ph-I and MA-H. In order to estimate catalytic activity, the yield of Ph-MA was compared in the early stage of the reaction (Scheme 1 and Table 1). Although both catalysts A-24 and B-24 catalyzed the Heck reaction to afford
- 20 cross-coupling product in high yields, the yields decreased in the second to fourth runs. These results are consistent with the observation that most heterogeneous catalysts serve as reservoirs of catalytically active species.^{9,15,16} Indeed, the Heck reaction proceeded in the used test tube even in the absence of GaAs
- ²⁵ powder. This is proof of the role of GaAs-Pd as a scaffold (reservoir). Active palladium species are dissolved into the solution and deposited on the internal wall of the test tube after working as a homogeneous catalyst. On the basis of these results, B-24 was found to possess larger amounts of catalytically active
- ³⁰ palladium species, presumably palladium nanoparticles, as a result of etching with HCl. This fact implies that the oxide layer on the GaAs surface prevented either adsorption of Pd(OAc)₂ or reduction of Pd(II) species to Pd(0).
- Table 2 reveals changes in catalytic activity depending on the ³⁵ time allowed for adsorption of palladium species. As expected, longer heating times correlated with higher catalytic activity.

These results indicate that active species are gradually generated during heating. In the cases of B-24 and B-48, absorptions of AcO⁻ were observed in the DRIR spectra indicating the presence ⁴⁰ of unchanged Pd(OAc)₂ on the surface.

 Table 1. Comparison of catalytic activity between A-24, B-24 and C-24.

catalyst		yield /	average of		
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	yields / %
A-24	85 (0)	31	23	24	41
B-24	93 (25)	63 (0)	41	29	57
C-24	95 (1)	4 (0)	8	6	28

^aDetermined by ¹H NMR.

^bResults of deposit tests were shown in parentheses

45 Table 2. Catalytic activity depending on heating time with Pd(OAc)₂.

catalyst		average of			
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	yields / %
B-0.5	58 (0)	27	13	0	25
B-1	65 (0)	27	9	5	27
B-6	78 (3)	48 (0)	28	20	44
B-12	78 (32)	63 (0)	43	12	49
B-24	93 (25)	63 (0)	41	29	57
B-48	93 (41)	88 (1)	54 (0)	42	70

^aDetermined by ¹H NMR.

^bResults of deposit tests were shown in parentheses.



Fig. 3 Pd 3d XPS spectra from GaAs-Pd for various heating time of Pd(OAc)₂ absorption (series B). Spectra from Pd metal and Pd(OAc)₂ powder are shown as references.

The existence of Pd(0) on the surface of the series B samples was confirmed by XPS measurements. As shown in Fig. 3, Pd 3d core-level photoemission spectra are reasonably decomposed into two components with Pd $3d_{5/2}$ -Pd $3d_{3/2}$ spin-orbit splitting. In the

- $_5$ component with lower binding energies, the Pd 3d_{5/2} peak appears at ~335.6 eV, which is very close to the corresponding peak of palladium metal. This suggests that Pd(OAc)₂ is reduced at the GaAs surface and that Pd(0) species are generated. The Pd 3d_{5/2} peak of the other component is located very close to that of
- ¹⁰ Pd(OAc)₂ (~338.0 eV), indicating the existence of Pd(II) species on the surface.

The latter component (Pd(II) component) is relatively intensified with increasing heating time and finally overwhelms the former component (Pd(0) component). Taking into account that the IR

¹⁵ absorption due to AcO^{\circ} also increases with heating time, this behavior is explained by the accumulation of unreacted Pd(OAc)₂, which becomes thick enough to mask the Pd(0) species on the surface after heating for 48 h.



20

Fig. 4 DRIR spectra for GaAs-Pd powder (series C) and GaAs powder treated with Piranha solution.

It is well-known that the Pd(0) species catalyzes the cross-²⁵ coupling reaction.¹⁻⁵ Hence, a reducing agent is necessary to convert Pd(II) to Pd(0). We hypothesized that hydroxy groups both attract Pd(II) to the surface as well as reduce it. In order to confirm the hypothesis, GaAs powder was treated with H₂O₂ to oxidize surface hydroxy groups beforehand (C-24). In the DRIR ³⁰ spectrum, C-24 exhibited a large absorption for AcO⁻, indicating the adsorption of Pd(OAc)₂, which might be due to the diminished reducing ability of C-24 (Fig. 4). In addition, the Heck reaction and deposit test also showed different reactivity in comparison with B-24. Although B-24 and C-24 showed similar

- ³⁵ catalytic activity in the first run, a considerable decrease in the yield in the second run was observed in the case of C-24 (Table 1). This means that the surface of C-24 is mainly covered with Pd(OAc)₂, which is not reduced. The physically adsorbed Pd(OAc)₂ dissolved into the solution and catalyzed the Heck
- ⁴⁰ reaction homogeneously after the reduction by NEt_3 in the first run. Since most of the palladium species on the surface had been washed away, the catalytic activity of C-24 was lost in a single run. In addition, the total amount of palladium species on the

surface of C-24 was considered to be low on the basis of the ⁴⁵ results of the deposit test.



Fig. 5 Pd 3d XPS spectra from GaAs-Pd for different surface pretreatment; as received (series A), treated with HCl (series B) and treated ⁵⁰ with HCl and H₂O₂ (series C).

Supporting evidence for the above-mentioned hypothesis about the hydroxy group was obtained via XPS measurements (Fig.5). The intensity ratio of the Pd(0) component to the Pd(II) ⁵⁵ component is smaller in A-1 than in B-1 and the same is true between A-24 and B-24. Since the GaAs surface without any pretreatment is highly oxidized, the surface of series A is expected to be less hydroxylated than that of series B. In addition, the Pd(II) component in C-24, the GaAs powder pre-treated with H₂O₂, is ⁶⁰ almost comparable with A-1. Thus, the observed feature indicates that a less-hydroxylated surface produces less Pd(0).

Reduction of Pd(II) by the hydroxy groups provokes the oxidation of GaAs. In order to confirm this, we performed HX-PES measurements, which are suitable for detecting ⁶⁵ photoelectrons from the GaAs surface covered with a thick layer of Pd(OAc)₂. As shown in Fig. 6, the As $2p_{3/2}$ photoemission spectrum from D-0 exhibits two peaks, a distinct peak at 1323.1 eV and a smaller one at 1326.1 eV. The former peak is assigned to the photoemission from the bulk GaAs and the latter to ⁷⁰ oxidized arsenic, such as As_2O_3 .³¹ After heating with Pd(OAc)₂ in MeCN (D-12), the intensity of the oxide peak increases, indicating that surface oxidation is promoted as a result of reduction. In accordance with this, the increase of oxidized gallium after the heating treatment is also observed in the Ga ⁷⁵ $2p_{3/2}$ spectra, although the amount of peak shift due to oxidation is smaller in the Ga $2p_{3/2}$ peak than in the As $2p_{3/2}$ peak (Fig. 7).



Fig.6 As $2p_{32}$ HX-PES spectra from GaAs(100) as received (D-0) and GaAs(100)-Pd catalyst (D-12).



Fig.7 Ga $2p_{3/2}$ HX-PES spectra from GaAs(100) as received (D-0) and GaAs(100)-Pd catalyst (D-12).

¹⁰ Bulk-sensitive HX-PES is also used to identify Pd(0) species, which are expected to exist under the thick $Pd(OAc)_2$ layer. As shown in Fig. 8, the Pd $3d_{5/2}$ peak from D-12 is decomposed into three components: a major one at ~335.7 eV, a small shoulder one at ~335.0 eV, and a broad, faint one at ~337.3 eV. Compared

¹⁵ with the spectra in Fig. 3 or Fig. 5, it is clear that the relative intensity of the Pd(II) component is significantly reduced. The binding energy of the major component is slightly higher than that of palladium metal and much lower than that of Pd(OAc)₂. This components is reasonably assigned to Pd(0)

20 nanoparticles, because the positive shift in core-level peaks is one of the signatures of nanoparticles.^{25,32-35} In fact, the observed shift, 0.7 eV, is comparable with the one reported,^{25,32,33,35} and almost identical to the one observed for the Pd(0) nanoparticles prepared by a similar method on an S-terminated GaAs surface.³⁵ The ²⁵ shoulder peak appears at the binding energy almost identical to that of palladium metal, indicating that inactive metallic palladium such as Pd-black, is formed by the aggregation of Pd(0) nanoparticles. The small broad peak is assigned to Pd(II) species, such as PdO, generated from Pd(OAc)₂ by the reaction at ³⁰ the surface. In fact, the Pd 3d peak from PdO appears at slightly

 $_{30}$ the surface. In fact, the Pd 3d peak from PdO appears at slightly higher than that of Pd metal and significantly lower than that of Pd(OAc)₂.^{36,37}



Fig. 8 Pd 3d HX-PES spectra from GaAs-Pd (100) before and after subjecting to the Heck reaction.

After the first run of the Heck reaction, the relative amount of Pd(0) nanoparticles decreases. This is highly likely due to the ⁴⁰ failure of nanoparticle re-deposition after the reaction in solution. It is also likely that the aggregation of nanoparticles progresses during the reaction.

On the basis of the abovementioned results, a plausible mechanism is provided in Scheme 2. Initially, Pd(OAc)₂ is adsorbed on the GaAs surface (**Step 1**), in which hydroxy groups on the surface attract Pd(II) by anion exchange to form layer **X**. This is supported by the result of a DRIR measurement, in which an absorption band due to hydroxy groups disappeared when the GaAs powder was treated with Pd(OAc)₂. However, excess ⁵⁰ amounts of Pd(OAc)₂ are adsorbed over layer **X** (layer **Y**) during treatments of longer duration. In layer **Y**, Pd(II) species are physically bound on the GaAs surface, hence, it is easily washed away when the GaAs-Pd powder is subjected to the Heck reaction. The adsorbed Pd(II) species are subsequently reduced to Pd(0) by ⁵⁵ hydroxy group to form the layer **Z** (**Step 2**), which is confirmed by the observation of the Pd(0) component in the XPS

measurement. At the same time, Pd(0) nanoparticles are formed,

which is confirmed by the HX-PES measurement. The pre-

oxidized GaAs powder did not exhibit catalytic activity in the second Heck reaction because the Pd(II) species were not reduced to Pd(0) on the GaAs surface.



5

Scheme 2 A plausible mechanism: Step 1; the adsorption of Pd species on the GaAs surface, Step 2; the redox reaction on the GaAs-Pd, Heck reaction (1st run); dissolution and deposition of Pd(0) nanoparticles.



Scheme 3 A catalytic cycle of the Heck reaction.

In the Heck reaction, Pd(0) nanoparticles are dissolved as a result ¹⁵ of oxidative addition with Ph-I, and they catalyze the crosscoupling reaction. After consumption of the Ph-I that stabilizes the Pd(0) nanoparticle in the solution, Pd(0) is redeposited on the GaAs surface or on the glassware.

Conclusions

- ²⁰ A novel use of GaAs, namely, as a scaffold for a heterogeneous palladium catalyst, is proposed. Hydroxy groups are considered to play two roles in this system: they 1) attract Pd(II) species on the surface by anion exchange, and 2) reduce the Pd(II) to Pd(0). These changes were analyzed by surface-sensitive measurement
- ²⁵ methods, DRIR and XPS. In addition, a more bulk-sensitive measurement, HX-PES, also supported our suppositions. The amounts of Pd(0) nanoparticles on the surface were evaluated by catalytic activity, yield, and recyclability for the Heck reaction in addition to the deposit test. All measurements and experimental ³⁰ results of the catalytic reaction well supported our hypothesis.

The GaAs surface is found to serve as the binding and reductive staff for palladium species. The present results might be applicable to other metal species or other oxides, which should be helpful for developing other functional surface than the support

10

of heterogeneous catalyst.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Dr. M. Arita, Prof. K. Shimada, and

⁵ Prof. H. Namatame of HiSOR, Hiroshima University, and Dr. Y. Takeda and Dr. Y. Saitoh of JAEA/SPring-8 for their contributions to the construction of the HX-PES experimental station at BL15XU of SPring-8.

Notes and references

- ¹⁰ ^a School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Kochi University of Technology, Tosayamada, Kami, Kochi 782-8502, Japan. Fax: +81 887 57 2520; Tel: +81 887 57 2517; E-mail: nishiwaki.nagatoshi@kochitech.ac.jp
- ^b Center for Collaborative Research, Anan National College of
- 15 Technology, Aoki, Minobayashi, Anan, Tokushima 774-0017, Japan. Email: konishi@anan-nct.ac.jp
- ^c NIMS Beamline Station at SPring-8, National Institute for Materials Science, , Kouto, Sayo, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5148, Japan. Email:YOSHIKAWA.Hideki@nims.go.jp
- 20 ^d Advanced Key Technologies Division, National Institute for Materials Science, Sengen, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0047, Japan. E-mail: SHIMODA.Masahiko@nims.go.jp
 - 1 R. Jana, T. P. Pathak and M. S. Sigman, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 1417-1492.
- 25 2 I. P. Beletskaya and A. V. Cheprakov, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 3009-3066.
 - 3 Á. Molnár, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 2251-2320.
 - 4 A. C. Albéniz and N. Carrera, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, 2347-2360.
 5 L. Yin and J. Liebscher, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 133-173.
- 30 6 M. Choi, D.-H. Lee, K. Na, B.-W. Yu and R. Ryoo, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 3673-3676.
 - 7 H. Zhao, Y. Wang, J. Sha, S. Sheng and M. Cai, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, 64, 7517-7523.
- 8 H. Liu, L. Wang and P. Li, Synthesis, 2008, 2405-2411.
- 35 9 G.-z. Fan, S.-q. Cheng, M.-f. Zhu and X.-l. Gao, *Appl. Organometal. Chem.*, 2007, 21, 670-675.
- 10 Y.-F. Yang, M. Zhuang, C.-X. Zeng, C.-B. Huang and M.-F. Luo, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2006, 24, 1309-1314.
- C. González-Arellano, A. Corma, M. Iglesias and F. Sánchez, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2004, **346**, 1758-1764.
- 12 K.-i. Shimizu, S. Koizumi, T. Hatamachi, H. Yoshida, S. Komai, T. Kodama, Y. Kitayama, *J. Cat.*, 2004, **228**, 141-151.
- 13 K. Okamoto, R. Akiyama, H. Yoshida, T. Yoshida and S. Kobayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 2125-2135.
- 45 14 A. Ohtaka, T. Teratani, R. Fujii, K. Ikeshita, O. Shimomura and R. Nomura, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 7188-7190.
 - 15 J. Zhu, J. Zhou, T. Zhao, X. Zhou, D. Chen and W. Yuan, *Appl. Cat. A: General*, 2009, **352**, 243-250.
- 16 L. Joucla, G. Cusati, C. Pinel and L. Djakovitch, *Appl. Cat. A:* 50 *General*, 2009, **360**, 145-153.
- 17 M. Zhang and W. Zhang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 6245-6252.
- 18 T. Maegawa, Y. Kitamura, S. Sako, T. Udzu, A. Sakurai, A. Tanaka, Y. Kobayashi, K. Endo, U. Bora, T. Kurita, A. Kozaki, Y. Monguchi and H. Sajiki, *Chem, Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 5937-5943.
- 55 19 L. Huang, Z. Wang, T. P. Ang, J. Tan, and P. K. Wong, *Cat. Lett.*, 2006, **112**, 219-225.
 - 20 A. Papp, K. Miklós, P. Forgo and Á. Molnár, J. Mol. Cat. A: Chemical, 2005, 229, 107-116.
- 21 M. L. Kantam, S. Roy, M. Roy, B. Sreedhar and B. M. Choudary, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2005, **347**, 2002-2008.
- 22 O. M. Wilson, M. R. Knecht, J. C. Garcia-Martinez and R. M. Crooks, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, **128**, 4510-4511.
- 23 R. Schögl and S.B. Abd Hamid, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 1628-1637.

- 65 24 S. Mandal, D. Roy, R.V. Chaudhari and M. Sastry, *Chem. Mater.*, 2004, 16, 3714-3724.
 - 25 W. P. Zhou, A. Lewera, R. Larsen, R. I. Masel, P. S. Bagus and A. Wieckowski, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 13393-13398.
- 26 R. Narayanan and M. A. El-Sayed, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 8340-8347.
- 27 X. Huang, Y. Wang, Q. He, X. Liao and B. Shi, *Catal. Lett.*, 2009, 133, 192-200.
- 28 T. Konishi, N. Nishiwaki, T. Toujyou, T. Ishikawa, T. Teraoka, Y. Ueta, Y. Kihara, H. Moritoki, T. Tono, M. Musashi, T. Tada, S.
- 75 Fujikawa, M. Takahasi, G. Bell, M. Shimoda and S. Tsukamoto, *Phys. Status Solidi C*, 2011, 8, 405-407.
 - 29 Y. Zhang and M. Stuke, Appl. Surf. Sci., 1990, 46, 153-157.
 - 30 J. Y. Zhang and I. W. Boyd, Appl. Phys. A, 1997, 65, 379-382.
- J. P. Contour, J. Massies, H. Fronius and K. Ploog, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., 1988, 27, L167-L169.
- 32 Y. Takasu, R. Unwin, B. Tesche, A. Bradshaw and M. Grunze, *Surf. Sci.*, 1978, **77**, 219-232.
- 33 G. K. Wertheim, S. B. DiCenzo and D. N. E. Buchanan, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1986, **33**, 5384-5390.
- 85 34 M. G. Mason, L. J. Gerenser and S. T. Lee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1977, 39, 288-291.
- 35 M. Shimoda, T. Konishi, K. Tateishi, T. Toujyou, S. Tsukamoto, N. Nishiwaki, M. Arisawa, N. Hoshiya, S. Shuto, N. Isomura, H. Yokota, Y. Furusawa, K. Iizuka, T. Ogiwara, Y. Isozaki, Y. Yamashita, H. Yoshikawa, S. Ueda and K. Kobayashi, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2010, **108**,
- Yoshikawa, S. Ueda and K. Kobayashi, J. Appl. Phys., 2010, 108, 024309.
- 36 T. Yokoya, T. Muro, I. Hase, H. Takeya, K. Hirata and K. Togano, *Phys. Rev.*, 2005, **B71**, 092507.
- 37 T. Pillo, R. Zimmermann, P. Steiner and S. Hufner, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 1997, 9, 3987-3999.