論文内容の要旨

Acid-electrolyzed water (AEW) is produced by the electrolysis of dilute sodium chloride (NaCl) or potassium chloride solution on the anode side of an instrument where the anode and cathode are separated by an ion-permeable diaphragm. AEW is commonly used in the agricultural and medical fields as a disinfectant for farm and food hygiene ^{1,-7}. It is also widely used for the disinfection of medical instruments such as dialyzers ⁸, endoscopes ⁹, and dentures ¹⁰ because of its potent antimicrobial potential ¹¹⁻¹⁵.

The antimicrobial activity of AEW might be the result of the combined effects of the oxidation–reduction potential (ORP) and pH ¹⁶. The characteristic values of AEW, such as low pH (2.7 or lower) and high ORP (+1100 mV or higher), deviate from the tolerable range for microbial growth (pH 3–10, ORP +900– 400 mV) proposed by Becking *et al* ¹⁷.

In addition, AEW has potent oxidative power in relation to its antimicrobial potential, and hydroxyl radical ('OH), an oxygen radical species, is often suggested as a putative active ingredient for AEW antimicrobial activity ¹⁸.

The purpose of my study is to examine the presence of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in AEW in relation to its biological effects including antimicrobial activity and cytotoxicity.

Studies conducted so far are summarized below.

Determination of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in AEW

The aim of the study was to examine if ROS such as 'OH are present in AEW. The oxygen radicals in AEW prepared under different conditions were determined using an electron spin resonance (ESR) technique. A signal from 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline *N*-oxide (DMPO)-OH, an adduct of DMPO and 'OH, was detected in AEW prepared

by double or triple electrolyses of 1% NaCl but not of 0.1% NaCl solution. In addition, the presence of hydrogen peroxide as a proposed source of 'OH was also examined using a combination of ESR and a Fenton reaction. The DMPO-OH signal was clearly detected, even in AEW prepared by single electrolysis of 0.1% NaCl solution, when ferrous sulfate was added to induce a Fenton reaction, indicating the presence of hydrogen peroxide in the AEW. Since sodium formate, a 'OH scavenger, did not affect the bactericidal activity of AEW, it is concluded that the radical unlikely contributes to the antimicrobial activity of AEW, although a small amount of the radical is produced from hydrogen peroxide. Dimethyl sulfoxide, the other hydroxyl radical scavenger used in the present study, canceled the bactericidal activity of AEW, accompanied by complete depletion of free available chlorine, suggesting that HClO is probably a major contributor to the antimicrobial activity. In conclusion, although hydrogen peroxide is present in AEW as a source of 'OH, the antimicrobial activity of AEW does not depend on the radicals.

2. Involvement of ROS in the inhibition of microbial growth by AEW

The lag of bacterial and fungal regrowth after a short-term exposure to antimicrobial agents is known as the postantibiotic effect and postantifungal effect (PAFE), respectively ¹⁹⁻²⁴. I evaluated the PAFE-like activity of AEW against *Candida albicans* under sublethal conditions by exposing *C. albicans* to dilute AEW. The growth of *C. albicans* after a short-term exposure to dilute AEW was evaluated in broth and on agar culture. The involvement of ROS in the PAFE was examined by flow cytometric analysis with hydroxyphenyl fluorescein (HPF) as a fluorescence probe. The dilute AEW exerted PAFE-like activity against *C. albicans*. ROS were produced in the cells treated with AEW diluted 16 times or fewer. The increase in HPF fluorescence after treatment with dilute AEW was cancelled by dimethyl sulfoxide, a 'OH scavenger. From these results, it would be expected that the ROS, especially 'OH, produced in the *C. albicans* cells treated with sublethal dilutions of AEW could exert PAFE-like activity against the fungal cells.

3. Involvement of ROS in the cytotoxic effect of AEW

I have shown that short-term treatment with dilute AEW inhibits the growth of C. albicans via intracellular ROS formation. Thus, I speculated that cytotoxic effect of AEW would also be mediated by intracellular ROS formation. Although several studies have been conducted to examine toxicity of AEW in vitro and in vivo, the cytotoxic mechanism of AEW has never been verified. The purpose of the study was to elucidate the underlying mechanism by which AEW exerts its in vitro cytotoxic effect. Mouse fibroblasts treated with AEW experienced dilution rate-dependent cytotoxic effects in the 100% confluent phase as well as in the mitotic phase. The levels of intracellular ROS assayed by a cell-permeable probe (2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescin diacetate) increased significantly in fully-confluent cells treated with undiluted and four times diluted AEW. In both of these treatments, cytotoxicity was also observed. It is thus concluded that the *in vitro* cytotoxicity of AEW is attributable to increased intracellular ROS. Additionally, the ROS responsible for these effects appears to be, at least in part, OH because the increase in intracellular ROS was attenuated by post-treatment with dimethyl sulfoxide, a OH scavenger, and with the antioxidant polyphenol, proanthocyanidin.

4. Conclusion

HClO penetrating through the cell membrane of not only microorganisms but mammalian cells would be converted to 'OH that causes oxidative cellular damage. The 'OH may be formed as follows: 1) electron leakage from the aerobic respiration in the AEW-treated cells would reduce oxygen molecules (O_2) to O_2 . and then the reaction between HClO and O_2 . generates 'OH as in the following way, HClO + O_2 ." $OH + O_2 + Cl$ (except that the cases of anaerobes), 2) OH_2 , which arises from the dismutation of OL_2 or is penetrated through the cell membrane, and trace metals such as ferrous ions would generate 'OH as in the following way, OH_2 + $OH_$

References

1. Fabrizio, K.A. & Cutter, C.N.: Comparison of electrolyzed oxidizing water with other antimicrobial interventions to reduce pathogens on fresh pork. Meat Sci 68, 463-468, 2004

2.Northcutt, J., Smith, D., Ingram, K.D., Hinton, A., Jr. & Musgrove, M.: Recovery of bacteria from

broiler carcasses after spray washing with acidified electrolyzed water or sodium hypochlorite solutions. Poult. Sci. 86,

2239-2244, 2007

3.Guentzel, J.L., Liang Lam, K., Callan, M.A., Emmons, S.A. & Dunham, V.L. : Reduction of bacteria on

spinach, lettuce, and surfaces in food service areas using neutral electrolyzed oxidizing water. Food

Microbiol 25, 36-41,2008

4.Cao, W., Zhu, Z.W., Shi, Z.X., Wang, C.Y. & Li, B.M.: Efficiency of slightly acidic electrolyzed water for

inactivation of Salmonella enteritidis and its contaminated shell eggs. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 130, 88-93, 2009

5.Park, Y.B., Guo, J.Y., Rahman, S.M., Ahn, J. & Oh, D.H.: Synergistic effect of electrolyzed water and

citric Acid against bacillus cereus cells and spores on cereal grains. J. Food Sci. 74, M185-189, 2009

6.Lu, Z.H., Zhang, Y., Li, L.T., et al.: Inhibition of microbial growth and enrichment of

gamma-aminobutyric acid during germination of brown rice by electrolyzed oxidizing water. J. Food Prot. 73, 483-487, 2010

7.Rahman, S.M., Jin, Y.G. & Oh, D.H.: Combination treatment of alkaline electrolyzed water and citric acid with mild

- heat to ensure microbial safety, shelf-life and sensory quality of shredded carrots. Food Microbiol 28, 484-491, 2010
- 8. Tanaka, N., Fujisawa, T., Daimon, T., Fujiwara, K., Yamamoto, M. & Abe, T.: The use of electrolyzed solutions for
- the cleaning and disinfecting of dialyzers. Artif. Organs 24, 921-928, 2000
- 9.Lee, J.H., Rhee, P.L., Kim, J.H., et al.: Efficacy of electrolyzed acid water in reprocessing patient-used flexible upper endoscopes: Comparison with 2% alkaline glutaraldehyde. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 19, 897-903, 2004
- 10. Nagamatsu, Y., Tajima, K., Kakigawa, H. & Kozono, Y.: Application of electrolyzed acid water to sterilization of denture base part 1. Examination of sterilization effects on resin plate. Dent. Mater. J. 20, 148-155, 2001
- 11. Kiura, H., Sano, K., Morimatsu, S., et al.: Bactericidal activity of electrolyzed acid water from solution containing sodium chloride at low concentration, in comparison with that at high concentration. J. Microbiol. Methods 49, 285-293, 2002
- 12.Sharma, R.R. & Demirci, A.: Treatment of Escherichia coli O157:H7 inoculated alfalfa seeds and sprouts with electrolyzed oxidizing water. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 86, 231-237, 2003
- 13. Nisola, G.M., Yang, X., Cho, E., Han, M., Lee, C. & Chung, W.J.: Disinfection performances of stored acidic and neutral electrolyzed waters generated from brine solution. J. Environ. Sci. Health A Tox. Hazard. Subst. Environ. Eng. 46, 8 263-270, 2011
- 14.Rodriguez-Garcia, O., Gonzalez-Romero, V.M. & Fernandez-Escartin, E. : Reduction of Salmonella enterica, Escherichia coli O157:H7, and Listeria monocytogenes with electrolyzed oxidizing water on inoculated has avocados (Persea americana var. Hass). J. Food Prot. 74, 1552-1557, 2011
- 15.Feliciano, L., Lee, J. & Pascall, M.A.: Transmission electron microscopic analysis showing structural

changes to bacterial cells treated with electrolyzed water and an acidic sanitizer. J. Food Sci. 77,

M182-187, 2012

16. Handojo A, Lee J, Hipp J, Pascall MA.: Efficacy of electrolyzed water and an acidic formulation compared with

regularly used chemical sanitizers for tableware sanitization during mechanical and manual ware-washing protocols.

J Food Prot. 72(6):1315-20, 2009

17.Becking LGMB, Kaplan IR, Moore D.: Limits of the natural environment in terms of pH and oxidation-reduction

potentials. J Geolog 68:243–84, 1960

18.Hotta, K.: Acid electrolyzed saline solution: Its function and medical application. J Jpn Soc Intensive Care Med 7, 97-105, 2000

19.Samaranayake YH, Yau JY, Thein ZM, Jayatilake JA, Yeung KW, Samaranayake LP.: The post-antifungal effect (PAFE) of amphotericin B, nystatin, ketoconazole and 5-fluorocytosine and its impact on the colonization traits of Candida glabrata. Med Mycol;48(5):725-734, 2010

20. Calamari SE, Bojanich MA, Barembaum SR, Berdicevski N, Azcurra AI. Antifungal and post-antifungal effects of chlorhexidine, fluconazole, chitosan and its combinations on Candida albicans. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 16(1):e23-28., 2011

21. Juliano C, Piu L, Gavini E, Zanetti S, Fadda G.: In vitro antibacterial activity of antiseptics against vaginal lactobacilli. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 11(12):1166-1169, 1992

22. Fuursted K, Hjort A, Knudsen L.: Evaluation of bactericidal activity and lag of regrowth (postantibiotic effect) of five antiseptics on nine bacterial pathogens. J Antimicrob Chemother 40(2):221-226, 1997

23.Nagl M, Hengster P, Semenitz E, Gottardi W.: The postantibiotic effect of N-chlorotaurine on

Staphylococcus aureus. Application in the mouse peritonitis model. J Antimicrob Chemother 43(6):805-809, 1999

24.Odashima Y, Nakamura K, Ikai H, Kanno T, Meirelles L, Sasaki K, et al.: Postantibiotic effect of disinfection treatment by photolysis of hydrogen peroxide. J Chemother 26(2):92-100, 2014